

DEVELOPMENTS IN GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING VOL 1

# THE PENETROMETER AND SOIL EXPLORATION

INTERPRETATION OF PENETRATION DIAGRAMS –  
THEORY AND PRACTICE

G. SANGERAT

*Professor of Soil Mechanics and Foundations  
Ecole Centrale Lyonnaise and Conservatoire National des Arts et Métiers  
Chief Engineer of SOCOTEC  
Lyons, France*

Second enlarged edition



ELSEVIER SCIENTIFIC PUBLISHING COMPANY  
Amsterdam – Oxford – New York

1972



## CONTENTS

Preface, by PROF. RALPH B. PECK . . . . .	VII
President of the International Society for Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering	
Introduction . . . . .	XVII
Notations . . . . .	XXIII
<i>Chapter I. History of the penetrometer</i> . . . . .	1
1.1 Origin and characteristics . . . . .	1
1.2 The Dutch static penetrometers (Goudsche Machinefabriek) . . . . .	5
1.3 The Frankipfahl static penetrometer (Germany) . . . . .	17
1.4 American pocket penetrometers . . . . .	17
1.4.1 Measurement of soil trafficability factors by cone penetrometer . . . . .	17
1.4.2 Soiltest pocket penetrometer . . . . .	18
1.5 The Sol-Essais (Parez) static penetrometer (France) . . . . .	18
1.6 The Degebo static penetrometer (Germany) . . . . .	21
1.7 The G.C. static penetrometer (Belgium) . . . . .	21
1.8 The recording static penetrometer (U.S.A.) . . . . .	23
1.9 The Franki static penetrometer (Belgium) . . . . .	23
1.10 The Soletanche static penetrometer (France) . . . . .	24
1.11 The Fugro electric-static penetrometer (The Netherlands) . . . . .	24
1.12 The penetrometers of C.E.B.T.P. (France) . . . . .	29
1.12.1 Static penetrometers . . . . .	29
1.12.2 Light-duty dynamic penetrometer . . . . .	32
1.12.3 Heavy-duty C.E.B.T.P. dynamic penetrometer . . . . .	32
1.12.4 Borro dynamic penetrometer . . . . .	32
1.12.5 Multi-purpose penetrometer . . . . .	32
1.12.6 Static-dynamic penetrometer . . . . .	33
1.13 The electric static penetrometer of the Ponts et Chausées Laboratory at Saint Brieuc (France) . . . . .	34
1.14 The B.M.E.E. light penetrometer (Great Britain) . . . . .	35
1.15 The American static penetrometer . . . . .	36
1.16 The N.B.R.I. static penetrometer (South Africa) . . . . .	38
1.17 The Australian static penetrometers . . . . .	38
1.18 The Russian penetrometers . . . . .	39
1.18.1 Soviet lunar penetrometer . . . . .	39
1.18.2 Dynamic Russian penetrometers . . . . .	41
1.18.3 Static sounding rigs . . . . .	43
1.18.4 Static Russian penetrometers S-979 and S-832 . . . . .	45
1.19 The Bulgarian static penetrometer . . . . .	46
1.20 Remarks on the static penetrometer . . . . .	47
1.21 The Fondasol dynamic penetrometer (France) . . . . .	48
1.22 The Sermes dynamic penetrometer (France) . . . . .	51
1.23 The Dynatest (France) . . . . .	53
1.24 The Swedish penetrometers . . . . .	54
1.24.1 The Swedish weight-sounding method . . . . .	55

1.24.2 Rapid sounding method . . . . .	56
1.24.3 The Swedish ram-sounding method . . . . .	57
1.24.4 The electrical-recording Borro penetrometer . . . . .	58
1.24.5 The S.G.I. sounding machine . . . . .	58
1.24.6 The Jonell and Nilsson static penetrometer . . . . .	58
1.24.7 The Asond electric penetrometer . . . . .	60
1.24.8 Investigations with different rod types . . . . .	60
1.24.9 Investigations with different sounding points . . . . .	61
1.24.10 Swedish standards sounding tests . . . . .	63
1.25 The Danish penetrometer . . . . .	63
1.26 Critical considerations on the dynamic penetrometer . . . . .	64
1.27 The Swiss penetrometers . . . . .	64
1.27.1 The Haefeli-Fehlmann static-dynamic penetrometer . . . . .	64
1.27.2 The manual and semi-automatic Bevac dynamic penetrometers . . . . .	66
1.28 The Jangot-Bonneton static-dynamic penetrometer (France) . . . . .	67
1.29 The Meurisse static-dynamic penetrometer (France) . . . . .	71
1.30 The Andino static-dynamic penetrometer (Switzerland and France) . . . . .	75
1.31 Graphical representation of penetrometer tests . . . . .	80
1.31.1 Static penetrometers . . . . .	80
1.31.2 Dynamic penetrometers . . . . .	82
1.31.3 Static-dynamic penetrometers . . . . .	82
1.32 Recommendations of the international commission . . . . .	85
1.32.1 Recommended procedures for the static and dynamic penetration test . . . . .	86
1.32.2 Recommendations for the method of the Standard Penetration Test (S.P.T.) . . . . .	89
 <i>Chapter 2. General theory</i> . . . . .	93
2.1 Preliminary evaluation of the diagrams and soil classification . . . . .	93
2.1.1 Homogeneous soils . . . . .	96
2.1.2 Heterogeneous soils . . . . .	97
2.2 Deep foundations . . . . .	113
2.2.1 Case of an incompressible layer . . . . .	114
2.2.2 Case of an instantaneously compressible layer . . . . .	114
2.3 Shallow footings . . . . .	114
2.4 Dynamic penetrometer tests . . . . .	119
2.5 Settlement forecast . . . . .	119
 <i>Chapter 3. The De Beer theory for the interpretation of penetrometer test data</i> . . . . .	121
3.1 Shallow foundations . . . . .	121
3.2 Incipient failure conditions under shallow footings . . . . .	125
3.3 Cohesionless soils . . . . .	126
3.4 Cohesive soils . . . . .	129
3.5 Interpretation of the penetrometer diagrams . . . . .	129
3.5.1 Shallow foundations . . . . .	129
3.5.2 Deep foundations . . . . .	132
3.6 Comparison between the Belgian and the early French theories . . . . .	135
3.6.1 Practical applications: sand and gravel of Rennes (France) . . . . .	135
3.6.2 Practical applications: Ghent-Bruges sands (Belgium) . . . . .	136
3.7 Notes pertaining to deep foundations . . . . .	137
 <i>Chapter 4. Kerisel's theory</i> . . . . .	143
4.1 Introduction . . . . .	143

4.2 Dense granular soils . . . . .	146
4.3 Loose and medium dense granular soils . . . . .	148
4.4 Cohesive soils . . . . .	155
4.5 Conclusions . . . . .	156
<i>Chapter 5. Dutch theories developed at the Delft Laboratory</i> . . . . .	159
5.1 Pile foundation design based on penetrometer test data . . . . .	159
5.2 Experimental research in Delft . . . . .	162
5.3 Practical applications of the Delft Laboratory method . . . . .	163
5.4 Research on the skin friction as determined from static penetrometer tests . . . . .	168
5.5 Tests performed in The Netherlands . . . . .	171
5.6 Effects of vertical heterogeneity of soils – Geuze's law . . . . .	174
<i>Chapter 6. Static penetrometers in the U.S.A. and Canada</i> . . . . .	177
6.1 Research by A.S. Vesic (U.S.A.) . . . . .	177
6.2 Tests of C.L. Crowther (U.S.A.) . . . . .	180
6.3 Research by J.H. Schmertmann (U.S.A.) . . . . .	181
6.4 Tests of Y. Lacroix (U.S.A.) . . . . .	182
6.4.1 Compacted fills . . . . .	182
6.4.2 In situ soil . . . . .	184
6.5 Canadian tests . . . . .	184
<i>Chapter 7. Side friction and skin friction</i> . . . . .	189
7.1 Measurements of side friction . . . . .	189
7.1.1 Accumulated side friction . . . . .	189
7.1.2 Local side friction $f_s$ measured on a sleeve . . . . .	190
7.2 Cohesionless soils . . . . .	193
7.3 Cohesive soils . . . . .	194
7.3.1 General . . . . .	194
7.3.2 Cohesion . . . . .	196
7.3.3 Determination of cohesion with the static penetrometer . . . . .	198
7.3.4 Experiments of Liems (Columbia) . . . . .	202
7.3.5 Experimental verification of cohesion values . . . . .	204
7.3.6 Sol-Essais tests (France) . . . . .	205
7.4 Soil classification by determination of side friction $f_s$ and point resistance $q_c$ with static penetrometers . . . . .	206
7.4.1 Evaluation of local side friction $f_s$ from point resistance $q_c$ . . . . .	206
7.4.2 Comparison of $f_s, q_c$ and the ratio $F (= f_s/q_c)$ . . . . .	207
<i>Chapter 8. The dynamic penetrometer</i> . . . . .	215
8.1 Tests with dynamic penetrometers fitted with a cone . . . . .	216
8.1.1 Dynamic penetrometer where shaft and point have the same diameter . . . . .	216
8.1.2 Dynamic penetrometer where shaft diameter is smaller than cone diameter . . . . .	216
8.1.3 Dynamic penetrometer whose shaft diameter is smaller than that of the cone but whose outer moveable shaft diameter is the same as that of the cone . . . . .	217
8.1.4 Remarks on dynamic penetration . . . . .	217
8.2 Interpretation of dynamic-penetration tests for shallow foundations . . . . .	220
8.2.1 Cohesionless soils ( $\varphi \neq 0$ and $c = 0$ ) . . . . .	220
8.2.2 Cohesive soils ( $\varphi = 0$ and $c \neq 0$ ) . . . . .	222
8.2.3 Soils having both $\varphi \neq 0$ and $c \neq 0$ . . . . .	223

8.2.4 Conclusion . . . . .	224
8.3 Theories for the interpretation of dynamic penetrometer diagrams for deep foundations . . . . .	225
8.3.1 Fundamental equation . . . . .	226
8.3.2 First approximation . . . . .	226
8.3.3 Second approximation . . . . .	227
8.4 Experiences in the Lyons area with sand and gravel mixtures . . . . .	231
8.5 Heavy- and light-duty dynamic penetrometers . . . . .	234
8.6 The dynamic penetrometer and the driving of sheet piles . . . . .	235
8.7 Particular dynamic penetrometer method for determining soil parameters . . . . .	236
8.7.1 Aircraft-launched penetrometer . . . . .	236
8.7.2 The penevane (Grenoble, France) . . . . .	237
8.8 Precautions to be observed during dynamic penetration of cohesive soils . . . . .	237
8.8.1 Difficulties encountered . . . . .	237
8.8.2 Studies of the "Ecole Centrale Lyonnaise" and the "Institut National des Sciences Appliquées", Lyons (France) . . . . .	240
8.8.3 Conclusions . . . . .	244
<i>Chapter 9. The Standard Penetration Test and the static penetrometer</i> . . . . .	245
9.1 Standard Penetration Test (S.P.T.) . . . . .	245
9.1.1 Cohesionless soils . . . . .	246
9.1.2 Footings on clay soils . . . . .	250
9.2 Limitations on the use of the S.P.T. . . . .	251
9.3 The various types of Standard Penetration Test . . . . .	252
9.4 Experience of the Bureau of Reclamation (Denver, Colo.) . . . . .	255
9.5 Thesis of Bazaraa . . . . .	256
9.5.1 Effect of submergence . . . . .	257
9.5.2 Effect of overburden pressure on standard penetration values in sands . . . . .	258
9.6 Comparison between the S.P.T. and the static penetrometer test . . . . .	260
9.6.1 Meyerhof's estimates for fine or silty sand . . . . .	260
9.6.2 Brazilian experiences . . . . .	261
9.6.3 Tests performed in Portugal . . . . .	264
9.6.4 Tests performed in Mozambique . . . . .	264
9.6.5 Spanish experiences . . . . .	265
9.6.6 Tests performed in India . . . . .	266
9.6.7 Conclusions . . . . .	266
9.7 Meyerhof's theory . . . . .	269
9.7.1 Bearing capacity and settlement of shallow footings . . . . .	269
9.7.2 Bearing capacity of pile foundations . . . . .	271
9.7.3 Conclusions . . . . .	272
9.8 Israeli experiences . . . . .	274
9.8.1 Experiences of Alpan . . . . .	274
9.8.2 Experiences of Zolkov and Wiseman . . . . .	275
9.9 Notes on the use of S.P.T. in South America and Spain . . . . .	277
9.9.1 Argentina . . . . .	277
9.9.2 Venezuela . . . . .	277
9.9.3 Spain . . . . .	278
<i>Chapter 10. Discussions</i> . . . . .	279
10.1 Introduction . . . . .	279
10.2 Raedschelders' comments on intermittent or continuous static penetration (Belgium) . . . . .	280

10.3	L'Herminier's and Tcheng's experiences (France)	283
10.4	Static penetrometer tests in chalk deposits	284
10.4.1	Paris area	284
10.4.2	Mons area (Belgium)	285
10.4.3	Portsmouth area (Great Britain)	286
10.5	Tests performed in Turkey	287
10.6	Brasiliian tests	287
10.7	Tests performed in Yugoslavia	288
10.8	German experiences	288
10.8.1	Bremen	288
10.8.2	Aachen	290
10.8.3	Statistical research of Menzenbach	292
10.8.4	Research of Muhs in Berlin	293
10.9	Bulgarian tests	295
10.10	Australian experiences	295
10.11	Experiences in Venezuela	296
10.12	Investigations performed for Belawan Harbor (Sumatra)	296
10.13	British experiences	297
10.13.1	Tests of Golder	297
10.13.2	Research by Thomas	299
10.13.3	Experience of Meigh and Corbett	300
10.14	Italian tests	303
10.15	Penetration tests in the U.S.S.R.	306
10.16	Swedish method of interpretation of penetration diagrams	307
10.17	In situ measurements of sand porosity	309
10.18	South African tests	312
10.19	Correlations between static penetrometer and pressuremeter	313
10.19.1	Tests of Van Wambeke (Belgium)	313
10.19.2	Laboratory tests of Ponts-et-Chaussées (France)	313
10.19.3	Israeli research	314
10.19.4	Tests of Fondasol (France)	315
10.19.5	Research performed by the "Ecole Centrale Lyonnaise" (France)	315
10.20	Jimenez Salas' experiences (Spain)	316
10.21	Compaction control with the static penetrometer	320
10.21.1	Tests performed in Congo	320
10.21.2	Experience in the Lyons area (France)	321
10.21.3	Control of the vibroflotation effects (South-Africa)	326
10.22	Driven pile length determination from static penetrometer diagrams	327
10.23	Required depth of soundings	328
10.24	Influence of rate of penetration and point diameter on the point resistance value of the static penetrometer	329
10.24.1	Soft clays	330
10.24.2	Stiff clays	332
10.24.3	Saturated silts	332
10.24.4	Loose sands	332
10.24.5	Calcareous loam	333
10.24.6	Conclusions	334
10.25	Penetration tests in Japan	334
<i>Chapter 11. The static penetrometer and the prediction of settlements</i>		337
11.1	General comments	337
11.1.1	Theory of settlement calculations	337

11.1.2	Settlements in undisturbed, normally consolidated clays . . . . .	342
11.1.3	Undisturbed overconsolidated clays . . . . .	343
11.2	Determining the constant of compressibility by static penetrometer . . . . .	344
11.2.1	Sandy soils: Buisman's formula . . . . .	344
11.2.2	Case of cohesive soils . . . . .	347
11.3	Method of determining the correlation between $q_c$ and soil compressibility (research of E.C.L. and I.N.S.A., Lyons) . . . . .	349
11.3.1	Basic principle . . . . .	349
11.3.2	Normally or underconsolidated soils . . . . .	350
11.3.3	Overconsolidated soils . . . . .	350
11.3.4	Experimental data . . . . .	351
11.3.5	Soil classification of samples . . . . .	351
11.4	Results of the research made at the "Ecole Centrale de Lyon" and the I.N.S.A. of Lyons (France) . . . . .	356
11.4.1	Values of the $\alpha$ coefficient . . . . .	356
11.4.2	Correlations of $q_c/C_c$ and $w$ . . . . .	357
11.5	Practical examples for sands . . . . .	360
11.6	Practical examples for clays . . . . .	364
11.6.1	Preliminary remarks . . . . .	364
11.6.2	Problem no. 1 . . . . .	365
11.6.3	Problem no. 2 . . . . .	371
11.7	Experiences of Perez and Bachelier (France) . . . . .	374
11.8	Delft Laboratory's results . . . . .	377
11.9	Comparison between reinforced concrete design and soil mechanics . . . . .	377
11.9.1	French specifications for reinforced concrete . . . . .	378
11.9.2	British specifications for pre-stressed concrete . . . . .	378
11.9.3	American specifications for reinforced concrete . . . . .	379
11.9.4	Spanish specifications . . . . .	379
11.9.5	Conclusion . . . . .	380
11.10	Estimates of settlements from S.P.T. data . . . . .	380
11.10.1	German experiences . . . . .	380
11.10.2	Israeli tests . . . . .	383
11.10.3	American experiments . . . . .	384
11.11	Research in Africa . . . . .	389
11.11.1	South African tests . . . . .	389
11.11.2	Portuguese tests in Angola . . . . .	392
11.12	Haefeli's experiences (Switzerland) . . . . .	393
11.13	English experiences . . . . .	393
11.13.1	Tests of Skempton and Meyerhof . . . . .	393
11.13.2	Tests of Thomas . . . . .	394
11.13.3	Research of Meigh and Corbett . . . . .	396
11.14	Australian tests . . . . .	398
11.15	Theory of Schmertmann (U.S.A.) . . . . .	399
11.15.1	Corrections of the basic assumptions of strain distribution . . . . .	400
11.15.2	Correlation between static-cone bearing capacity $q_c$ and $E_s$ values to use in settlement computations . . . . .	403
11.15.3	Settlement estimates . . . . .	404
<i>Chapter 12. Conclusions</i>		
12.1	Interpretation of static-penetration diagrams . . . . .	407
12.1.1	Soil classification . . . . .	407
12.1.2	Shallow footings . . . . .	407

12.1.3	Settlement prediction for shallow footings . . . . .	408
12.1.4	Deep foundations . . . . .	410
12.2	Interpretation of dynamic penetration diagrams . . . . .	417
12.2.1	Cohesionless soils . . . . .	417
12.2.2	Cohesive soils . . . . .	418
12.2.3	Static-dynamic penetrometer . . . . .	419
12.2.4	Standard penetration test . . . . .	421
12.3	Elementary precautions to be observed . . . . .	421
12.3.1	Cost and security . . . . .	421
12.3.2	Equipment . . . . .	422
12.3.3	Conclusions . . . . .	423
<i>Appendices</i>		
A.	A.S.T.M. tentative standard method for deep quasi-static-cone penetration test . . . . .	425
B.	L'Herminier's theory for the interpretation of penetration test data . . . . .	436
C.	Bearing-capacity factors . . . . .	442
D.	Curves of equal vertical stresses under a continuous footing and a square footing . . . . .	443
E.	Report of the Subcommittee on Standardization of Penetration Testing in Europe . . . . .	444
<i>Bibliography</i> . . . . .		
References added to the second edition . . . . .		
		487