

Underpinning and Retention

Edited by

S. THORBURN, OBE Director Thorburn Limited

Visiting Professor University of Strathclyde

and

G.S. LITTLEJOHN
Professor of Civil Engineering
University of Bradford



BLACKIE ACADEMIC & PROFESSIONAL

An Imprint of Chapman & Hall

London · Glasgow · New York · Tokyo · Melbourne · Madras

Contents

Preface			
Co	ontributors		
1	Introduction	1	
•	S. THORBURN		
		1	
	1.1 General description	11	
	1.1.1 Conversion works	13	
	1.1.2 Protection works	18	
	1.1.3 Remedial works	22	
	1.2 Investigatory works 1.3 Serviceability limits	29	
	1.3 Serviceability limits	32	
	1.4 Litigation 1.5 Historical background	36	
	References and further reading	40	
2	Traditional methods of support	41	
	J.F. HUTCHISON		
		41	
	2.1 Introduction	42	
	2.2 Shoring	43	
	2.2.1 Raking shores	45	
	2.2.2 Flying shores 2.2.3 Needles and dead shores	47	
		48	
	2.3 Simple underpinning	55	
	2.4 Case study Acknowledgement	60	
	References	60	
	at the wall we will be the second	61	
3		01	
	K.W. COLE		
	3.1 Connecting piles to the structure	62	
	3.2 Conventional piles	64	
	3.3 Designing piles	66	
	3.3.1 Assessment of ground and groundwater conditions	68 69	
	3.3.2 Quality and costs of investigations	69	
	3 3 3 Interpretation of ground and groundwater conditions	70	
	3.3.4 Examples of adverse ground and groundwater conditions	72	
	3.3.5 Estimation of pile bearing capacity	77	
	3.3.6 Piles in particular soil and rock types	78	
	3.3.7 Piles in cohesive soils	81	
	3.3.8 Piles in granular soils	81	
	3.3.9 Piles in weak rocks	82	
	3.3.10 Piles founded on strong rocks	83	
	References		

4	'Pali radice' structures					
	F.	LIZZ	I a			
	4.1	Underpinning by means of conventional piles				
	4.2	Unde	Underpinning by means of conventional piles Underpinning by means of pushed-into-the-ground piles			
	4.3	'Pali	radice'	8-		
	11170	4.3.1		8		
		4.3.2	The performance of pali radice	8		
		4.3.3	The service load of a palo radice	8		
		4.3.4	The 'safety factor' of a pali radice underpinning	8		
		4.3.5	The design of a pali radice underpinning: the ultimate			
			load of a palo radice	8		
	4.4		histories of underpinning	9		
		4.4.1		9		
		4.4.2		9:		
		4.4.3		9:		
		4.4.4 4.4.5		9		
		4.4.6	2/ 1/2 Promote Committee (1/2)	9		
	4.5		culated pali radice (RPR)	9°		
	11.00	4.5.1	Reticulated pali radice structures	9		
		4.5.2		100		
		4.5.3		104		
		4.5.4		10		
		4.5.5	Reticulated pali radice for consolidation of damaged tunnels	110		
	4.6	The a	advantages of a reticulated pali radice structure	110		
		4.6.1		113		
		4.6.2		116		
		4.6.3		116		
		4.6.4		119		
	4.7			124		
	4.7	4.7.1	oroblem of stability of a tower	126		
		4.7.2	The Burano Bell Tower, Venice, Italy (XVI century) The strengthening of the Al-Hadba Minaret, Mosul, Iraq (XII century)	126		
		4.7.3	The Tower of Pisa	130		
	4.8		al case histories	138		
		4.8.1	Adding new storeys to an existing building in Naples	138		
		4.8.2	The consolidation of an old monument in Sicily, in a landslide zone	138		
		4.8.3	The underpinning of an old bridge in Spain	141		
		4.8.4	Another case of underpinning and RPR retaining wall—			
			the Milan Underground	142		
		4.8.5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		101	by means of pali radice	143		
		4.8.6				
		107	Bootham Bar, York, U.K.	148		
		4.8.7	Integration of an inadequate foundation in a difficult soil—the bridge on the River Ardas (Greece)	1.10		
	49	The d	levelopment of micropiles	148		
	4.10	Steel	pipe micropiles, cemented into the soil	148 149		
	4.11 The preloading on micropiles					
	4.12	Micro	opiles for new foundations in difficult soils	151 151		
	Bibl	iograpl	hy	156		
5			ford underpinning method	157		
	J.F.	S. PR	YKE			
	5.1		luction	157		
	5.2	Desig		159		

		CONTENTS	xi			
	5.3	The Pynford underpinning method	162			
	5.4	Case studies	167			
	21.74	Case Study no. 1: Underpinning at Imperial College, London	167			
		Case Study no. 2: Underpinning a house in Wimbledon, London	178			
		Case Study no. 3: St Nicholas' Church, Charlwood, Surrey	179			
		Case Study no. 4: Beam and pile underpinning for a factory near Nottingham	181			
		Case Study no. 5: Redeveloping major retail premises, Oxford Street, London	184			
		Case Study no. 6: Pynford beams used for shoring	185			
		Case Study no. 7: Strengthening medieval stonework at Winchester Cathedral	189			
		Case Study no. 8: Altering a Tudor structure—Trinity College, Cambridge	192			
		Case Study no. 9: Moving a historic school house at Warrington, Lancashire	197			
	Refe	erences	197			
			70.72572			
5		e Bullivant systems	199			
	H.	BRADBURY				
	6.1	Introduction	198			
	6.2	Historical background	198			
	6.3	Definition of problems	198			
	6.4	Underpinning systems	200			
		6.4.1 Angle piles	201			
		6.4.2 Jack-down piles	202			
		6.4.3 Pile and reinforced concrete raft	203			
		6.4.4 Cantilever pile and beam	205			
		6.4.5 Cantilever ring beam	205			
		6.4.6 Conventional pad and beam	205			
		6.4.7 Pile and needle beam	207			
		6.4.8 Dual angle piles	207			
		6.4.9 Micro- or minipiles	210			
		6.4.10 Pressure grouting	210			
	6.5	Case studies	211			
		6.5.1 Tower 4, York City Walls	211			
		6.5.2 West Princes Street, Glasgow	211			
		6.5.3 Castle Bytham	212			
		6.5.4 The Town Mill, Guildford	213			
		6.5.5 Courthouse in Eire	214			
		6.5.6 Alvaston, Derby	215			
		6.5.7 Minster, Sheppey	215			
		6.5.8 Merridale, Wolverhampton	216			
		6.5.9 Factory ground-floor slab failure	217			
		6.5.10 Fylde Coast	218			
7	Gre	Ground freezing				
		J.S. HARRIS				
	7.1	Toronto Boro	220			
	7.1	Introduction	220			
	7.2	The method	220			
	7.3	Methods of refrigeration	223			
		7.3.1 On-site mechanical plant	223			
	7.4	7.3.2 Off-site-produced expendable refrigerant	225			
	7.4	The properties of frozen ground	225			
		7.4.1 Strength and creep	225			
		7.4.2 Frost heave	229			
	7.5	7.4.3 Concreting	229			
	7.5	Design	230			
		7.5.1 Structural	230			

CONTENTS

		7.5.2 Thermal	231			
	7.6	Applications	232			
		7.6.1 Open pits and shafts	232			
		7.6.2 Tunnels	232			
		7.6.3 Structural support/retaining wall	232			
	7.7	.7 Examples				
	7.8	Summary	233 240			
		References				
8		derpinning by chemical grouting	242			
	G.S	S. LITTLEJOHN				
	8.1	Historical introduction	242			
	8.2	Ground investigation	248			
	8.3	Principles of injection	248			
		8.3.1 Permeation of porous ground	249			
	8.4	Grout systems	253			
		8.4.1 Grout selection	253			
		8.4.2 Viscosity	256			
		8.4.3 Setting time	258			
		8.4.4 Stability	259			
		8.4.5 Strength of grouted formation	259			
		8.4.6 Creep of grouted formation	262			
		8.4.7 Resistance of grout to extrusion	262			
		8.4.8 Permanence	262			
		8.4.9 Health and safety aspects	264			
	8.5	5 Grouting operations				
	8.6	Applications				
		8.6.1 Ground treatment at Wuppertal, West Germany	266 266			
		8.6.2 Increase of formation strength, Minneapolis, Minnesota	268			
		8.6.3 Ground strengthening at East Greenwich sewer London	268			
		8.6.4 Reduction in pile settlement at Jeddah, Saudi Arabia	269			
		8.6.5 Underpinning of multistorey blocks, Paris	270			
	8.7	Conclusions	271			
	Refe	References				
9	T	oral about and the title				
9		eral shores and strutting	276			
	P. 1	LIGHT				
	9.1	Historical background	276			
	9.2	2 Types and purposes of strutting				
		Responsibilities and relationships	277 280			
		Design considerations	281			
	9.5	Construction considerations	287			
	9.6	Quality management	289			
	9.7					
	Acknowledgements					
	Refe	rences	290 290			
10	So	il anchorages	***			
10	17.7		292			
	U.S	S. LITTLEJOHN				
	10.		292			
		10.2 Definition				
	10	3 Site investigation	292			

		CONTENTS			
		10.3.1	General	293	
		10.3.7	Field sampling	294	
		10.3.3	Field testing	295	
		10.3.4	Laboratory testing	296	
		10.3.5	Presentation of data	297	
		10.3.6	Investigation during construction	297	
	10.4	Design		298	
	1011	10.4.1		298	
		10.4.2	Overall stability	298	
		10.4.3	Soil/grout interface	300	
		10.4.4	Grout/tendon interface	310	
		10.4.5	Materials and components	311	
		10.4.6	Safety factors	313	
	10.5	Corrosi	ion protection	315	
		10.5.1	General	315	
		10.5.2	Principles of protection	316	
		10.5.3		317	
	10.6	Constru	uction	321	
	10.0	10.6.1	General	321	
		10.6.2	Drilling	322	
		10.6.3	Tendon	323	
		10.6.4	Grouting	324	
		10.6.5	Anchor head	326	
		10.6.6	Stressing	326	
	10.7	Testing		328	
			General	328	
		10.7.2	On-site acceptance tests	328	
		10.7.3	Proof load-time data	331	
		10.7.4	Apparent free tendon length	331	
		10.7.5	Short-term service behaviour	331	
		10.7.6	Monitoring service behaviour	333	
		10.7.7		334	
	10.8	Applic	ations	335	
	10.9	Final r	remarks	337	
	Ackr	owledge	338 338		
	Refe	References			
11	In-e	itu eart	th reinforcing by soil nailing	340	
11		. BRU	A STATE OF THE STA		
	11.1	Introd	uction	340	
	11.1		cteristic features	340	
	11.2	1121	In-situ reinforcement techniques	340	
			Selecting in-situ reinforcement	342	
		11.2.3		343	
		11.2.4		345	
		11.2.5		346	
			Benefits and limitations of soil nailing	347	
	11.3		ry and evolution	348	
	11.4		cations	350	
	11.4	11.4.1		350	
		11.4.1		351	
	115	Const		354	
	11.5	11.5.1		355	
			Drainage	357	
			Installation of nails	357	
		11.5.3	Reinforcement and corrosion protection	359	
		11.5.4	Remotement and corrosion protection	-20.753	

CONTENTS

		11.5.5	Slope claddings	360
		11.5.6	Instrumentation and monitoring	361
	11.6	Design	The second secon	362
		11.6.1	Background	362
		11.6.2	Ultimate nail capacities	362
		11.6.3	Mobilized nail forces	362
		11.6.4	Stability computation procedures	365
		11.6.5	Development of design methodology	368
	11.7 Data from published case histories			369
		11.7.1	Derived parameters	369
		11.7.2	Observations on case histories	370
	11.8	Two re	cent case histories	373
		11.8.1	Excavation for tunnel portal, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada	373
		11.8.2	Design construction and performance of a soil-nailed wall in Seattle.	
		COLUMN TO	Washington	382
	11.9	Final r		391
	Acknowledgements			
	References			392 392
88		011000		372
Inde	x			205
	500			395